

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,349	10/024,349 12/18/2001		Grant Hay	120644-1	4828
23413	7590	11/20/2006		EXAM	INER
CANTOR		•	HESS, BRUCE H		
55 GRIFFIN	N ROAD S	OUTH			
BLOOMFIE	ELD, CT	06002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>e</u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummons	10/024,349	HAY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruce H. Hess	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	_	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-	19.06 (amend ment	)					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s)is/are rejected.	•						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
_ ,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
oco the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intention Summer	v (PTO_413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application					
LS Detect and Trademark Office.							

Application/Control Number: 10/024,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Dris et al. (USP 6,716,505).

Dris et al. recognize that a reduced radial deviation is a result-effective parameter in storage media that can comprise a plastic substrate, a data storage layer, another plastic layer having a different composition than the plastic substrate, and a reflective layer (see column 2, lines 13-30 and column 3, line 2). The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine the specific radial deviation of the storage media) fails to render applicant's claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

Claims 1-83 are again provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 51-75 of copending Application No. 11/102,068 for the reasons of record. This rejection has been maintained since it is not the only rejection in the case.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300